



# DOGMERSFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

## Minutes of the Meeting Held at Dogmersfield Primary School 13<sup>th</sup> March 2017

### Councillors present:

Cllr Geoff Beaven (GB)  
Cllr Alastair Clark (AJC)  
Cllr Graham Leach (GL)  
Cllr Joanna Thomas (JT)  
Cllr Mike Ricketts (MR)  
CLERK Claire Inglis (CI)

### Members of Public present:

Cllr D Simpson  
Cllr T Davies  
M Lawes  
JW Lawes  
E Waller  
C Waller  
P Whitehead  
S Thomas  
Cllr K Crookes  
B white

Agenda item 29/17	<p><b>Welcome &amp; Apologies for absence</b></p> <p><b>All DPC councillors were present.</b></p> <p>Apologies were also received from Cllr S Gorys</p> <p>GB reported that a meeting of the NHPSG took place earlier at 6.00pm of which the outcomes will be reported under agenda item 35/17. The next NHPSG meeting has been pencilled in for the 8<sup>th</sup> May which is the date of the next full meeting of the Parish Council and starting at 6.00pm. However, this meeting has still to be confirmed and those wishing to attend should keep an eye on the parish council notice boards.</p> <p>The next meeting will be the Annual Parish Assembly to be held in the School Hall on 10<sup>th</sup> April and preparations for this will be put in place at this meeting.</p>	
Agenda item 30/17	<p><b>Declaration of Interests – Current agenda</b></p> <p>None received</p>	
Agenda item 31/17	<p><b>Public Participation</b></p> <p>GB had nothing to report before this session. Any questions on planning applications will be taken under agenda item 35/17.</p>	
Agenda item 32/17	<p><b>Approval of minutes of the meeting held 13<sup>th</sup> February 2017</b></p> <p><b>It was resolved that the minutes of the meeting held 13<sup>th</sup> February 2017 (15/17 to 28/17) be accepted as a true record and they were signed by GB (JT proposed, GL seconded and all were in favour).</b></p>	



# DOGMERSFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

<p>Agenda item 33/17</p>	<p><b>Matters arising from meeting minutes of 13<sup>th</sup> February 2017</b></p> <p>The outstanding action list was considered at this time with many items due for consideration as an agenda item below.</p> <p>Other actions outstanding or completed are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• GL to draft Terms of Reference for Community Benefit Fund group</li> <li>• To forward signed copy of CBF to GL</li> <li>• Additional pothole on Chalky Lane to report</li> <li>• Clerks Update was oversubscribed therefore CI was unable to attend</li> </ul>	<p>GL CI AJC</p>								
<p>Agenda item 34/17</p>	<p><b>Finance &amp; Regulatory Matters</b></p> <p><u>To receive and approve financial statement of account from 1<sup>st</sup> – 28<sup>th</sup> February confirm payments made in February and authorise any payments now due</u></p> <p>CI reported the bank balance at end of February stands at £37,387.90 and this has been agreed to the bank statement by a councillor and signed. The Community Benefit Fund payment was received in February totalling £28,000.</p> <p>This balance is represented by:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="204 913 1374 1061"> <tr> <td>EARMARKED RESERVE – NHP Grants</td> <td>£ 2,781.48</td> </tr> <tr> <td>EARMARKED RESERVE – Community Benefit Fund</td> <td>£28,000.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DPC PRECEPT funds (including cheques not yet cleared bank)</td> <td>£ 6,329.45</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total bank balance end of February 17</td> <td>£37,387.90</td> </tr> </table> <p>The following payment(s) were made during February and are confirmed below:</p> <p>Chq no: 1005 £409 Claire Inglis Clerk Feb 17 salary</p> <p>Chq no: 1006 £54.94 Clerk Expenses reimbursement</p> <p>Chq no: 1007 £231 Hampshire CC re hall hire for meetings</p> <p>Chq no: 1008 £35 Peter Barton re Electrical safety certificate</p> <p>Chq no: 1009 £116.84 Alastair Clark expenses reimbursement</p> <p>Chq no: 1010 £2,547.62 rCOH Ltd Consultancy re NHP EARMARKED RESERVE</p> <p>Chq no: 1011 £45.97 Dogmersfield Events re purchase new Christmas lights (VAT was recoverable on this payment)</p> <p><b>It was resolved that the statement of accounts be accepted as a true record and payments listed therein be confirmed</b> (GB proposed, GL seconded and all were in favour).</p> <p>CI requested authorisation of the following payments which will fall due before the next meeting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• £409.00 March 2017 Clerk Salary payment due 20<sup>th</sup> of the month</li> <li>• £419.00 April 2017 (Revised) Clerk Salary payment due 20<sup>th</sup> April</li> </ul> <p><b>It was resolved to authorise the payments to be settled as listed upon receipt of relevant paperwork (invoice or expense claim)</b> (AJC proposed, GB seconded and all were in favour).</p> <p>CI reported that the Pension obligations of DPC have been reviewed and the next timely action will be taken between 1<sup>st</sup> July 2017, the staging date and December 2017. GB is the nominated recipient of postal information relating to the pension obligations with CI receiving the email, administrative information.</p> <p>GB also requested that CI investigate investment returns on part of the £28,000 CBF and bring a</p>	EARMARKED RESERVE – NHP Grants	£ 2,781.48	EARMARKED RESERVE – Community Benefit Fund	£28,000.00	DPC PRECEPT funds (including cheques not yet cleared bank)	£ 6,329.45	Total bank balance end of February 17	£37,387.90	<p>CI</p>
EARMARKED RESERVE – NHP Grants	£ 2,781.48									
EARMARKED RESERVE – Community Benefit Fund	£28,000.00									
DPC PRECEPT funds (including cheques not yet cleared bank)	£ 6,329.45									
Total bank balance end of February 17	£37,387.90									



# DOGMERSFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

Agenda item 34/17	<p>proposal for the May meeting. DPC should try to obtain a rate of return based on the decision to accept the one-off payment based on a net present value calculation. This may be small based on interest rates at the moment but it should be investigated nonetheless.</p>	CI
	<p><u>To consider request for reimbursement to Dogmersfield Events for installation of DPC Christmas lights to remain in tree £50</u></p>	
	<p>GB reminded those present that this item refers to the £50 cost of the hire of a cherry picker to install the Christmas lights in a new tree following the felling of the previously used tree. At the last meeting DPC agreed to meet the cost of replacing the damaged lights but hire of the cherry picker was held over to confirm whether such costs had been met by the DPC in 2012. CI has advised and GB confirmed that in 2012 the DPC resolved unanimously that up to £400 net of VAT be reimbursed to the relevant parties for the two sets of lights (£174.20 and £162.75); payment of the electrical safety invoice (£30) and a payment for hire of cherry picker (£50).</p>	
	<p>Hence this decision created a legitimate expectation that the cost of hiring a cherry picker in December 2016 would be met by DPC. However, before the discussion on whether to meet this cost GB would like put on record that endorsing unbudgeted expenditure retrospectively as in this case is poor stewardship of public money.</p>	
	<p>DPC must therefore take action to minimise such situations in the future. As you know DPC is faced with other not dissimilar requests for sponsorship and GB considered that DPC needs to establish an agreed policy for such expenditure rather than considering each individually. GB therefore proposed that DPC agree to consider our approach to sponsorship of social events at a future meeting, budget accordingly and then take timely expenditure decisions.</p>	
	<p>It was agreed to bring this to the September DPC meeting.</p>	
	<p>GB asked for any comments from councillors. AJC was content to propose payment of the £50 to Dogmersfield Events but inquired about VAT recoverability. It was established there was no invoice and therefore CI would forward an expense claim form for completion.</p>	CI
	<p><b>It was resolved to authorise payment to Dogmersfield Events for £50 cost of installation of Christmas tree lights following completion of an expense claim form (AJC proposed, GB seconded and all were in favour).</b></p>	
	<p>MR asked whether this type of item would be considered under the CBF. GB considered that the terms of reference need to be defined before items such as these should be considered.</p>	
	<p><u>To receive a recommendation from the Clerk to appoint Internal Auditor for the year ending 31<sup>st</sup> March 2017</u></p>	
	<p>Due to the additional level of income received by DPC this year the internal auditor has advised of an increased fee this year. DPC has now been audited by the same internal auditor for 3 financial year ends. Best practice suggests up to 6 year ends with the same auditor.</p>	
	<p>An additional quote from another local internal auditor came in higher than the current auditor by £15 due to the work to familiarise himself with the parish. It was indicated that this fee would reduce on subsequent years.</p>	
	<p>CI also reported that an external audit fee is also likely to be payable this year of approximately £200 due to the level of income in the year. CI awaits confirmation of this from BDO. AJC commented that BDO are not likely to be the external auditor for the 17/18 year end.</p>	



# DOGMERSFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

<p>Agenda item 34/17</p>	<p>Therefore the decision for whom to appoint for the internal audit is dependent on whether the Parish Council wish to have a new auditor for this year end or remain with the current auditor for another year. AJC considered it would be advantageous to continue to work with the current internal auditor as she will be working closely with the developments of the external audit over the coming months. Councillors were content with this as the cost has been compared with another company for reasonableness.</p> <p><b>It was resolved to appoint Eleanor Greene of Do The Numbers Ltd at a fee of £185 to carry out the Internal Audit of DPC for year ending 31<sup>st</sup> March 2017</b> (GB proposed, MR seconded and all were in favour).</p> <p><u>To acknowledge insurance cover for 3<sup>rd</sup> year of three year term</u>            CI confirmed that the Insurance cover provided by Came &amp; Co. insurer Aviva will continue for the third year of a 3-year agreement with Aviva at a rate of £265 inclusive of IPT. The amount will be paid in due course but this is to acknowledge that DPC insurance cover continues.</p> <p><u>To discuss and agree actions re Annual Parish Assembly preparation</u>            The APA is due to be held on Monday 10<sup>th</sup> April from 7.15pm to commence at 7.30pm.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CI to issue invitations to County and District Councillors, School representatives, Police, Canal Authority and the Four Seasons Hotel. Where appropriate written reports will be requested with the option to speak also where appropriate.</li> <li>• GL to organise refreshments</li> <li>• AJC to organise microphones for the presentation on the evening</li> <li>• CI to draft pinkie for delivery a week prior to APA</li> <li>• CI to draft an outline running order for the evening</li> </ul> <p>A resident asked if the opportunity could be given to residents to air any concerns. GB confirmed that this opportunity is always given at the end of the event in the form of Questions and Feedback but in the past there has not much participation at this stage possibly because most questions were answered as part of the presentation.</p> <p><u>To report on Lengthsman scheme progress 17/18</u>            Further to the meeting in February CI reported that both parishes Newnham and Yateley have capacity to accept Dogmersfield under their cluster lead with both parishes directly employing a Lengthsman who then carry out the work for each parish in the respective clusters.            CI has met both Lengthsman in different capacities but most recently had a walkabout in the village with the Lengthsman from Newnham. CI discussed the scope of verge clearance work with the contractor who has all the appropriate licences for carrying out the work. He confirmed that DPC would be able to obtain two cuts of the verges as previously carried out with some budget spare to carry out other small tasks in addition. CI suggested road sign cleaning as an option to fully utilise this budget. Please note that DPC would not need to raise an invoice to obtain the funding or pay any invoices but call off the work required as necessary and all paperwork will be dealt with by Newnham PC.</p> <p>CI would be happy to recommend clustering with Newnham on this basis and ideally should notify HCC before 20<sup>th</sup> March so that the relevant cluster lead paperwork can be issued.</p> <p>AJC requested that careful consideration be given to communicating the specification of works with the cluster lead to ensure it does not get diluted by the time the contractor is asked to carry out the work. CI confirmed that this conversation has already begun but will ensure that this is</p>	<p>CI</p> <p>CI</p> <p>GL AJC CI CI</p> <p>CI</p>
--------------------------	---	---



# DOGMERSFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

<p>Agenda item 34/17</p>	<p>enforced at the appropriate time.</p> <p><b>It was resolved to proceed with joining the Newnham Parish Council led Lengthsman cluster for the 2017/18 scheme</b> (GB proposed, MR seconded and all were in favour).</p>	
<p>Agenda item 35/17</p>	<p><b>Planning</b></p> <p><u>To report on current planning applications and confirmation of Parish Council responses</u></p> <p><b>16/01651/OUT Netherhouse Copse</b> – an outline application for 423 new houses in Hitches Lane. GB last reported that the developer has appealed against HDC’s ‘non determination’ of this application. It is understood that the appeal will take the form of a public enquiry. The public enquiry is due to complete approximately July 2017.</p> <p><b>16/02048/PREAPP Four Seasons Hotel</b> - seeking guidance on proposals to vary the recently approved Children’s Swimming Pool. This pre-app has been overtaken by a full application which will be covered below.</p> <p><b>15/01083/CON Rose Court</b> – seeking to discharge the conditions associated with the granting of permission to demolish the existing office block and create a new building providing 14 apartments. No progress to report.</p> <p><b>16/02537/CON Church Lane</b> – seeking to satisfy the conditions attached to the approval to build 3 houses on this site. A further application is reported later which may well have overtaken this one.</p> <p><b>16/02745/LDC Floods Farm Cottage</b> – seeking a Lawful Development Certificate for the continuing use of a strip of farmland next to the property as garden. HDC are still considering according to their website. This decision will be important due to a full planning application on this site.</p> <p><b>16/02877/PREAPP Chatter Alley</b> – sought guidance on a scheme for 8 new dwellings on the area between the primary school and Trouhquain House previously referred to as the Fisk Field.</p> <p>The developer (Earlsgate) mounted an exhibition of their latest scheme for 6 new houses on the site on Saturday 11<sup>th</sup> March. It was well attended with 50 plus in attendance and provided a better quality presentation than other similar exhibitions.</p> <p><b>16/03129/OUT Pale Lane</b> – seeking outline approval for 700 new houses and some local infrastructure including a primary school and a local centre. Nothing further to report.</p> <p><b>16/03090/FUL and 16/03091/FUL Schoolfield Corner</b> – seeking permission to build 4 new houses. Two large houses fronting on the road and two smaller houses at the rear of the site.</p> <p>Both applications were considered by the planning committee on Wednesday 8th March. Both applications were refused planning permission. Lack of SANG was the main reason for both refusals but poor design was an added reason introduced by the planning committee in response to DPC’s concerns that the proposed pairs of identical houses would be inconsistent with the eclectic mix of styles that characterise the Conservation Area. A third reason for refusing the rear pair reflected the Conservation Officer’s concern that ‘backfield’ development would be damaging to the Conservation Area.</p> <p>Although permission for the front pair was refused it seems likely that permission may be granted once the SANG provision is resolved, although as a new application it will be considered on its merits. The rear pair’s future is less certain as apart from one member who supported building in the rear no other member was prepared to offer a view.</p> <p>Regarding SANG Hart have purchased a suitable site at Bramshott and planning permission was granted on Wednesday 8<sup>th</sup> for a car park and construction of two circular walks. No information was provided as to when allocations will be made.</p>	



## DOGMERSFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

Agenda  
item  
35/17

KC confirmed that there was preparation work to be carried out on the Bramshott SANG before it can be designated as such which could take up to a year. Any reapplications would sit in a queue for when SANG becomes available. KC confirmed that there is not enough SANG at Bramshott for current applications for example Hartland Park does not have enough SANG for its own purpose and will therefore require some from Bramshott.

**16/03245/HOU Well Waters** – reflecting the pre-app covering a number of changes to the internal and external layout of the property. Planning permission has been granted.

**16/03264/HOU Karibu** – seeking approval for a large two storey side extension and a single storey rear extension. Planning permission has been granted.

**16/03302/FUL NATTA Site** – seeking change of use to create a construction training area as part of the NATTA site adjacent to the Parish Boundary. DPC objected as did Crondall PC reflecting concerns expressed by the expert consultees about insufficient assessment of the noise and environmental impact of the scheme. Further surveys and assessments reports have now been submitted. These identify nothing to be concerned about and DPC will not be making any further representations.

**16/02369/HOU Blue Bell Lodge** - seeking to add a triple car barn to the recently approved new dwelling on this site adjacent to the Parish Boundary. Planning permission has been granted.

**16/03415/HOU Floods Farm Cottage** – seeking approval to extensions to the side and rear of the existing property by the new owners prior to any guarantee of the LDC as per the application detailed above at the same location. DPC has submitted no objections after checking with the most affected residents. A statement was recently submitted by a resident suggesting this is still being determined.

**16/03400/OUT Cross Farm Crookham Village** – seeking outline approval for a care village comprising approximately 100 retirement cottages and apartments and a 64 bed care home. There have been over 300 objections presumably mainly from Crookham Village residents.

**17/00257/CON Rose Court** – a further application to discharge a condition associated with the granting of permission to demolish the existing office block and create a new building providing 14 apartments. Nothing further to report.

**17/00204/HOU Peasmoor House** – a recent application for an extension to the existing property on Ormersfield Lane. DPC submitted no objections.

**17/00277/CA Old Bridge House** – an application to cut back some overhanging trees. DPC will not be responding.

**17/00302/PREAPP Pond House** – the new name for the garage opposite Ormersfield House which received planning permission in 2011 for conversion into a dwelling. This pre-application seeks guidance regarding a change use of the surrounding land from agricultural to residential. Without this change access to the building could be restricted.

**1700315/LBC and 17/003141/HOU Aragon Hall** – seeking permission to build a wall and gates across the entrance to Aragon Hall from the A287. The gates will normally be left open as this is a public right of way. DPC has objected due to adverse visual impact and the potential for disruption to the right of way. AJC confirmed that he and JT carried out an interesting site visit to appraise the application. Odiham Parish were copied in on the DPC response and the Ramblers and HCC Rights of Way have also objected.

**15/02401/NMMA Church Lane** – seeking to change the detail design features of the windows in the 3 new houses. DPC will not be responding.

**17/00497/LBC and 17/00496/FUL Four Seasons** – a recent full application to build a children's swimming pool. DPC has yet to decide how it will respond.

**17/00515/CON Rose Court** – seeking to discharge the conditions associated with the granting of permission to demolish the existing office block and create a new building providing 14 apartments. Unlikely that DPC will respond.

KC was asked to comment on the progress of the Local Plan for HDC. He updated that the



# DOGMERSFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

Agenda  
item  
35/17

consultation on the DRAFT plan is being prepared for publication and should be ready to issue in a couple of weeks for a period of 6 weeks. A period of review of all responses will then follow which will confirm the direction the Local Plan will take.

To report on Neighbourhood Plan progress and authorise any payments to be made in respect of any expenditure incurred on progress of the NHP

GB reported that the working group (WG) has met a couple of times to consider rCOH's draft Vision Note and their conclusions have been captured in the summary which was considered earlier this evening by the NHPSG.

In essence the working group recognised that the draft Vision Note was prepared on the basis of the best information available at the time of the Vision Workshop which included the potential for 141 new houses on a block of SHLAA sites [COM001] in the centre of Dogmersfield village as identified in HDC's 2016 consultation document - Refined Options for Delivering New Homes.

However, the WG were aware that, subsequent to the rCOH draft, HDC had drawn up further proposals for the allocation of housing within the Local Plan and it appears likely that these will be the basis for a further public consultation. Dogmersfield does not appear specifically in this allocation and consequently it now seems that the threat of 141 new houses in Dogmersfield has receded and further that the Dogmersfield NHP will not be faced with the prospect of identifying sites to meet a Local Plan allocation of a defined number of new houses. However the prospect of smaller developments in Dogmersfield of less than 10 units remains a possibility.

A further relevant factor that has emerged since the Vision Workshop is that all planning applications for new houses are likely to be refused unless they include their own SANG (Suitable Alternative Natural Greenspace) provision. The applications for 4 houses on Schoolfield Corner has been refused for this reason and a potential application for 6 new houses on Fisk Field could also be affected. Although Hart have a new supply of SANG land in the pipeline it could be many months before this is available for allocation to smaller sites.

KC commented further on SANG capacity, the Crookham Village Cross Farm proposal is listed in the Local Plan and this would deliver a good supply of SANG capacity.

In view of these significant changes since the Vision Workshop the WG felt that rCOH should be given an opportunity to reconsider its Vision Note and a meeting has been arranged with them on 14<sup>th</sup> March for this purpose.

The WG agreed to recommend that in parallel NHPSG representatives should meet HDC's development and conservation officers to discuss HDC's intentions in those areas both generally and in respect of Dogmersfield and the implications for the potential role of the Dogmersfield NHP. KC confirmed that HDC have no plans for any further review of conservation areas. GB further commented that rCOH felt that DPC could strengthen the Dogmersfield Conservation area as they considered that HDC have not highlighted as much about conservation as they should be.

The WG further agreed to recommend implementation of advice given by rCOH on preparation for the Dogmersfield NHP by collating evidence in the public domain and on the emerging Local Plan evidence base. This will be undertaken by Sub Groups, led by Steering Group members with assistance from other members and seeking additional assistance from more residents in specific one-off tasks, comprising:

- Housing (Geoff Beaven) focusing on housing need and potential development sites
- Design and Character (Mark Lowe, Christine Lowe, Carole-Anne Harrison) focusing on the



# DOGMERSFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

<p>Agenda item 35/17</p>	<p>distinctive characteristics of the village and the rural areas and the discussions with Hart officers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Community Facilities (Valery Scott) focusing on buildings and facilities that may need protection or enhancement</li> <li>-Environment Group (Mark Lowe, Christine Lowe, Carole-Anne Harrison) focusing on open spaces, conservation and natural amenity assets</li> <li>-Infrastructure (Chris Ward) reviewing utility plans and local services including the road network</li> </ul> <p>These recommendations have been endorsed by the NHPSG.</p> <p>The other pressing issue discussed at the last meeting was the potential need for a further grant application to cover the period to the end of March 2017. After reviewing the current grant funding in hand against the likely expenditure it became clear that no further grant application was needed. However, CI has sought and received an extension of the current grant period to end March 2017. Under the rules any government grant that is unspent at the end of March will have to be repaid but the grant authority has confirmed that this amount is not lost as it can be covered by a further grant application for April onwards. DPC will need to report on the use of the government grant will by the deadline of 14<sup>th</sup> April. DPC should also consider the timing of a further grant application to ensure continuity of funding cover.</p> <p>CI requested authority to repay any unspent government grant in the event that not all is spent. GB also confirmed that he would complete a report to confirm how the grant was spent by the deadline.</p> <p><b>It was resolved to give CI authority to repay any residual unspent NHP government grant issued by Groundwork up to a maximum of £781.48 within the reporting timetable before 14<sup>th</sup> April 2017 (GB proposed, AJC seconded and all were in favour).</b></p>	<p>CI</p> <p>CI/GB</p>
<p>Agenda item 36/17</p>	<p><b>Environment and Rights of Way</b></p> <p><u>To consider Community Payback Team works for 17/18</u></p> <p>It was agreed that there are no pressing tasks for the CPT at this time. GL considered that a team could attend to the Chalky Lane entrance to the village to keep this area tidy and for additional Balsam clearance on PGN later in the year say June or July. CI in the meantime would investigate the best day to maximise the possible numbers in the team to inform a decision at a future meeting.</p> <p><u>Review of tree work following felling of the large willow on Pilcot Green North</u></p> <p>GB confirmed that the large willow was felled at no cost to DPC. GL confirmed that he had issued the thanks of DPC to Thomas Gregory and these thanks were extended also to Cllr DS who had also provided assistance in this very good outcome. GB also confirmed that the trunk of the tree had been completely felled. The tree surgeon also did a very good job at clearing up by chipping the majority of the branches and piling some of the remaining branches.</p> <p><u>To report on further Dogmersfield Dads volunteer work</u></p> <p>GB reported that the Dogmersfield Dads are on standby to help clean up Pilcot Green after the big Willow tree was felled by the HH contractor. There is much less to clean up than expected but GL and GB have agreed that some work remains that they should be asked to consider. GB agreed to put this in hand. This work would not extend to cutting up of the large trunk section as this would not be suitable work for volunteers and may need to be tackled by professionals to be agreed at a later time. CI confirmed that the new Lengthsman has tree work experience and this may an avenue to explore from the village maintenance budget.</p>	<p>CI</p> <p>GB</p>





# DOGMERSFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

<p>Agenda item 36/17</p>	<p><u>To report on Chicane work package</u>            CI reported that having received a slightly unsatisfactory response from the contractor in relation to querying the work carried out on the chicanes she had carried out a review of the timeline of the project which was quite drawn out from October 2014. There are lessons to be learned for the future management of such a project with the added complexities of obtaining a licence for operating on the highway. CI confirmed that there was possibly no redress with the contractor based on the evidence trail.</p> <p>Councillors agreed that in this case there was no point in pursuing redress any further. They agreed that the chicanes would be allowed to weather in and the need for any remedial work would then be considered at a later date. It was agreed that the fence repair work was carried out well.</p> <p><b>It was resolved to authorise payment to Goslings for £642 (inc. VAT) for the chicane and fence repair work</b> (GB proposed, AJC seconded and all were in favour).</p>	
<p>Agenda item 37/17</p>	<p><b>Highways</b></p> <p><u>To report any updates on Highways matters including Speedwatch</u>            AJC has reported pot holes located on Chatter Alley and will add the pot hole identified on Chalky Lane near A287 next to manhole cover.</p> <p>AJC will forward HDC contact to CI to advise them of the temporary location of the damaged Church Lane sign which will be kept out of roadway and green area until it can be repaired or replaced.</p> <p>It was considered that the new Lengthsman could also be considered for repair work to the wooden posts on PGS and GB would check to see if he has any remaining spares.            It was also considered that road sign cleaning was not a suitable activity for the residents to carry out on a voluntary basis due to health and safety risks this also could be considered under the Lengthsman funding.</p>	<p>AJC</p> <p>GB</p>
<p>Agenda item 38/17</p>	<p>Other matters to report</p> <p>(i) Community Liaison – Nothing to report</p> <p>(ii) Training – the Clerks update was oversubscribed hence CI was unable to attend on this occasion even after sitting on the waiting list.            AJC attended Transparency workshop and would follow up any issues with CI</p> <p>(iii) Website update – Currently up to date</p> <p>(iv) Newsletter – the bulletin newsletter was delivered weekend of 4<sup>th</sup>/ 5<sup>th</sup> March along with the flyer from Earls Gate. To date CI has received one email reference the Community Benefit Fund from Dogmersfield Events suggesting that someone from their team be involved due to the close community links of this group. GB considered that individual residents should join the group and not a representative of an organisation as this may raise issues of conflict of interest. The terms of reference for the group will consider these matters when being drafted and identify the commitment required in joining the group.</p>	<p>AJC</p>
<p>Agenda item 39/17</p>	<p><b>Crime and Disorder Act, section 17</b></p> <p>Nothing to report</p>	



# DOGMERSFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

Agenda item 40/17	<p><b>Next meeting date</b></p> <p>Annual Parish Assembly April 10<sup>th</sup> in the hall from 7.15pm</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td><b>APA</b> April 10<sup>th</sup></td> <td><b>AGM</b> May 8<sup>th</sup></td> <td>June 12<sup>th</sup></td> <td>July 10<sup>th</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td>No August meeting</td> <td>September 11<sup>th</sup></td> <td>October 9<sup>th</sup></td> <td>November 13<sup>th</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td>December 11<sup>th</sup></td> <td>January 8<sup>th</sup></td> <td>February 12<sup>th</sup></td> <td>March 12<sup>th</sup></td> </tr> </table>	<b>APA</b> April 10 <sup>th</sup>	<b>AGM</b> May 8 <sup>th</sup>	June 12 <sup>th</sup>	July 10 <sup>th</sup>	No August meeting	September 11 <sup>th</sup>	October 9 <sup>th</sup>	November 13 <sup>th</sup>	December 11 <sup>th</sup>	January 8 <sup>th</sup>	February 12 <sup>th</sup>	March 12 <sup>th</sup>	
<b>APA</b> April 10 <sup>th</sup>	<b>AGM</b> May 8 <sup>th</sup>	June 12 <sup>th</sup>	July 10 <sup>th</sup>											
No August meeting	September 11 <sup>th</sup>	October 9 <sup>th</sup>	November 13 <sup>th</sup>											
December 11 <sup>th</sup>	January 8 <sup>th</sup>	February 12 <sup>th</sup>	March 12 <sup>th</sup>											
Agenda item 41/17	<p><b>Information sharing</b></p> <p>KC confirmed that the new Hart Leisure Centre will be opening on 1<sup>st</sup> April with an event hosted on the day.</p>													

Signed.....  
Chairman

Date.....

Abbreviations used	In place of
DPC	Dogmersfield Parish Council
HDC	Hart District Council
HH	Hampshire Highways
HCC	Hampshire County Council
NHP	Neighbourhood Plan
CPT	Community Payback Team
CBF	Community Benefit Fund
NHPSG	Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group
APA	Annual Parish Assembly
WG	Working group